Chemical profile and toxicity of leachates from different types of tires



Norwegian Institute for Water Research



Elisabeth S. Rødland, Ana C. Almeida, Maria Christou, Sebastian Küehr, Jan Thomas Rundberget, Tânia Gomes

— BACKGROUND

The negative impact from tire wear particles released into the environment has recently gained more attention, as new studies related to their chemical content, leaching capabilities and effects on organisms have been published. Studies demonstrated that tires contain a wide range of compounds, including rubbers and natural rubber, metals and organic compounds. Although several studies have investigated the chemical content of tires and their toxic implications on different organisms, most studies use crumb rubber material from scrap tires or a mixture of tire materials. These mixtures allow the investigation on the levels and effects across a range of tires in a sample, which is environmentally relevant, however, it makes it difficult to evaluate the variation between seasonality, brand and models of different tire types. Our main aim was to investigate the toxicity of leachates from 4 tires (winter studded, winter non-studded, summer, truck) in the freshwater

microalgae Raphidocelis subcapitata and zebrafish embryos Danio rerio and understand if their chemical composition affected the organisms differently.



Toxicity testing:

- \succ TT1 most toxic to *R. subcapitata* (EC₅₀=18.9%), followed by CT1 (EC₅₀= 61.5%). CT2 & CT3 with low to no toxicity (EC_{50} >100%).
- > ROS formation, metabolic activity, cellulose & neutral lipid content most affected sub-lethal parameters in microalgae \rightarrow tire specific trends.

Figure 1 – Effects of the tire leachates on the freshwater algae Raphidocelis subcapitata exposed for 72 hours. *Not analysed due to mortality of microalgae cells. Different letters indicate significant differences between concentrations (p < 0.05).

CHEMICAL ANALYSIS

Table 2 – Chemical characterization of car tire leachates ((100%) in Milli-Q water using LC-MS and ICP-MS analysis.
---	--

Tire	Zn (µg.L ⁻¹)	6PPD	6PPD-Q	DPPD	НМММ	DPG	TMQ	PhBT	SBR
sample		(ng.mL⁻¹)	(ng.mL⁻¹)	(ng.mL ⁻¹)	(ng.mL⁻¹)	(ng.mL ⁻¹)	(ng.mL⁻¹)	(ng.mL ⁻¹)	(µg.mg⁻¹)
CT1	121.9 ± 2.3	1.0 ± 0.1	2.8 ± 0.4	3.4 ± 0.3	25.8 ± 2.2	31.9 ± 4.9	24.0 ± 5.2	<lod< th=""><th>232 ± 28.6</th></lod<>	232 ± 28.6
CT2	29.4 ± 1.2	10.6 ± 0.3	3.6 ± 0.4	3.0 ± 0.1	176.5 ± 16.3	41.1 ± 10.7	36.1 ± 4.5	8.1 ± 0.2	187 ± 12.1
CT3	18.0 ± 1.3	3.2 ± 0.1	2.3 ± 0.6	<lod< th=""><th>19.9 ± 0.1</th><th>2080 ± 308</th><th>13.7 ± 0.7</th><th>24.1 ± 0.8</th><th>265 ± 11.5</th></lod<>	19.9 ± 0.1	2080 ± 308	13.7 ± 0.7	24.1 ± 0.8	265 ± 11.5
TT1	352.2 ± 6.4	7.3 ± 0.4	0.9 ± 0.4	<lod< th=""><th><lod< th=""><th>51.2 ± 37.0</th><th>12.4 ± 0.9</th><th><lod< th=""><th>425 ± 38.8</th></lod<></th></lod<></th></lod<>	<lod< th=""><th>51.2 ± 37.0</th><th>12.4 ± 0.9</th><th><lod< th=""><th>425 ± 38.8</th></lod<></th></lod<>	51.2 ± 37.0	12.4 ± 0.9	<lod< th=""><th>425 ± 38.8</th></lod<>	425 ± 38.8

Zn – Zinc; 6PPD – N1-(4-Methylpentan-2-yl)-N4-phenylbenzene-1,4-diamine; 6PPD Q – 6 PPD quinone - 2-((4-Methylpentan-2-yl)amino)-5-(phenylamino)cyclohexa-2,5-diene-1,4-dione; DPPD – Diphenyl-p-phenylenediamine; HMMM – Hexamethoxymethylmelamine; DPG – Dipropylene glycol; TMQ - poly(1,2-dihydro-2,2,4-trimethyl-quinoline) – rubber antioxidant; PhBT – 2-phenylamino-5-(2-hydroxybenzono)-1,3,4-thiadiazole; SBR – Styrene-butadiene rubber.

 \geq A small effect in survival and hatching rate in zebrafish embryos \rightarrow TT1 with highest effects, followed by CT2, CT1 and CT3.

 \succ Embryos showed different types of malformations \rightarrow pericardial and yolk sac oedemas, hemorrhages and spinal deformities, more evident in TT1.

CONCLUSIONS

> The different responses in microalgae and zebrafish suggest a correlation with the chemical profile of the leachates produced from the 4 different tires.

> Our results demonstrate the importance of assessing the chemical profiles and toxicity of individual tires, as well as in mixtures -> particularly important to understand the impact from tires and their leachates in different environmental compartments.



<u>esr@niva.no</u>

References: [1] Rauert, C.; et al. ES&T Letters 2021, 8 (3), 231-236; [2] Sommer, F., et al., Aerosol Air Qual. Res. 2018, 18 (8); [3] Müller, K., et al., STOTEN 2022, 802, 149799. ; [4] https://www.ustires.org/cmtt 23.11.2023; [5] Rødland, E. S., et al.. J Haz Mat 2022, 435, 129032.

Affiliation: Norwegian Institute for Water Research (NIVA).

This study was partly supported by the Norwegian Public Roads Administration, the Centre for Environmental Radioactivity (CERAD, Grant 223268/F50) and the MicroLEACH Project (Grant nr. 295174) funded by the Norwegian Research Council.

